

Title	Notes on the first-order part of Ramsey's theorem for pairs (Proof theory and complexity)
Author(s)	Yokoyama, Keita
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録, 1832: 127-134
Issue Date	2013-04
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Text version	author
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10119/11590
Rights	Notes on the first-order part of Ramsey's theorem for pairs (Proof theory and complexity), Keita Yokoyama, 数理解析研究所講究録, No.1832, pp.127-134, 2013. 本著作物は京都大学数理解析研究所の許可のもとに掲載するものです。This material is posted here with permission of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences.
Description	

Notes on the first-order part of Ramsey's theorem for pairs

Keita Yokoyama*

(Dept. of Mathematical and Computing Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology)

Abstract

We give the Π_2^0 -part, the Π_3^0 -part and the Π_4^0 -part of RT_2^2 and related combinatorial principles.

1 Introduction

Determinating the first-order part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ and other important combinatorial principles is a one of the crucial topics in the study of Reverse Mathematics (see, e.g., [2, 4]). The usual approach for these questions is using forcing arguments to construct a second-order part for the target combinatorial principle. On the other hand, there is a traditional way to study the strength of combinatorial principles by using indicator functions. (For the details of indicator functions, see [6].) In [1], Bovykin and Weiermann gave the Π_2^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ by means of an indicator function defined by a density notion, using the idea of Paris [7] and Paris/Kirby [8]. Using similar arguments, we can show that the Π_2^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0^* + \text{RT}_2^2$ is equivalent to Elementary Function Arithmetic (see [9]). In this paper, we give the Π_3^0 -part and the Π_4^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ based on [1]. We will also give several density notions to characterize the Π_2^0 -part, the Π_3^0 -part and the Π_4^0 -part of $\text{RT}_{<\infty}^2$, SRT_2^2 , $\text{SRT}_{<\infty}^2$ and EM.

2 The Π_2^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$

This section is essentially due to Bovykin/Weiermann[1].

Definition 2.1 (within IS_1). For a finite set X , we define the notion of n -density as follows.

- A finite set X is said to be 0 -dense if $|X| > \min X$.
- A finite set X is said to be $n + 1$ -dense if for any (coloring) function $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there exists a subset $Y \subseteq X$ such that Y is n -dense and Y is P -homogeneous, *i.e.*, P is constant on $[Y]^2$.

*2-12-1 Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, JAPAN. e-mail: yokoyama.k.ai@m.titech.ac.jp

Note that “ X is m -dense” can be expressed by a Σ_0 -formula.

Definition 2.2. $n\text{PH}_2^2$ asserts that for any a there exists an n -dense set X such that $\min X > a$.

Define $T_0 := \{k\text{PH}_2^2 \mid k \in \omega\} \cup \text{IS}_1$.

Lemma 2.1. • $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2 \vdash n\text{PH}_2^2$ for any $n \in \omega$.

• $\text{IS}_1 \vdash m\text{PH}_2^2 \rightarrow \text{PH}_{m+1}^2$.

Proof. Easy. □

Lemma 2.2 (Bovykin/Weiermann[1]). *Let M be a countable model of IS_1 , and let $X \subseteq M$ is a (M -)finite set which is k -dense for any $k \in \omega$. Then, there exists a cut $I \subseteq M$ such that $\min X \in I < \max X$, $X \cap I$ is unbounded in I and $(I, \text{Cod}(I/M) \models \text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$.*

Proof. See [1]. □

Theorem 2.3 (Bovykin/Weiermann[1]). *A Π_2^0 sentence ψ is provable in $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ if and only if it is provable in T_0 .*

Proof. See [1]. □

In fact, we can generalize this theorem as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *A Π_2^0 formula ψ (ψ may contain set parameters) is provable in $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ if and only if it is provable in $\text{IS}_1^0 \cup \{k\text{PH}_2^2 \mid k \in \omega\}$. (Here, IS_1^0 is a system of second-order arithmetic which contains basic axioms and induction axioms for Σ_1^0 -formulas with set parameters.)*

3 The Π_3^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$

Definition 3.1. Let $\theta(a, x, y)$ be a Σ_0 -formula. We say that a finite set $X = \{a_i \mid i \leq l\}$ dominates $\theta(a, \cdot, \cdot)$ if $\forall i < l \forall x \leq a_i \exists y \leq a_{i+1} \theta(a, x, y)$ holds. We define several variations of PH_2^2 as follows:

- $\theta\text{-}n\text{PH}_2^2 := \forall a (\forall x \exists y \theta(a, x, y) \rightarrow \exists X (X \text{ is finite, } n\text{-dense, and dominates } \theta(a, \cdot, \cdot)))$,
- $n\widetilde{\text{PH}}_2^2 := \forall X (\forall x \exists y \geq x y \in X \rightarrow \exists Y (Y \text{ is finite, } n\text{-dense, and } Y \subseteq X))$.

Define $T_1 := \{\theta\text{-}k\text{PH}_2^2 \mid k \in \omega, \theta \in \Sigma_0\} \cup \text{IS}_1$ and $\widetilde{T}_1 := \{k\widetilde{\text{PH}}_2^2 \mid k \in \omega\} \cup \text{RCA}_0$. Note that T_1 is a Π_3^0 -theory, i.e., T_1 is a set of Π_3^0 -sentences.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\theta(a, x, y)$ be a Σ_0 -formula, and let $n \in \omega$. Then, $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2 \vdash \theta\text{-}n\text{PH}_2^2$, and $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2 \vdash n\text{PH}_2^2$.*

Proof. Easy. □

Theorem 3.2. A Π_3^0 sentence ψ is provable in $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ if and only if it is provable in T_1 . Thus, T_1 is the Π_3^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$.

Proof. We show that $T_1 \not\vdash \psi$ implies $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2 \not\vdash \psi$ for any Π_3^0 -sentence ψ . Assume that $\psi \equiv \forall a \exists x \forall y \theta(a, x, y)$ is not provable from T_1 . Then, there exists a nonstandard countable model $M \models T_1$ such that $M \models \forall x \exists y \neg \theta(a, x, y)$ for some $a \in M$. By $(\neg\theta)$ - $k\text{PH}_2^2$ and overspill, there exists an m -dense set X which dominates $\neg\theta(a, \cdot, \cdot)$ for some $m \in M \setminus \omega$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists an initial segment $I \subseteq_e M$ such that $(I, \text{Cod}(I/M)) \models \text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ and $I \cap X$ is unbounded in I . Since X dominates $\neg\theta$, for any $x \in I$ there exists $y \in I$ such that $I \models \neg\theta(a, x, y)$. Thus, we have $(I, \text{Cod}(I/M)) \models \neg\psi$, which means that $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2 \not\vdash \psi$. \square

Theorem 3.3. A Π_3^0 formula ψ is provable in $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ if and only if it is provable in \widetilde{T}_1 .

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Note that \widetilde{T}_1 is equivalent to $\text{I}\Sigma_1^0 \cup \{\forall A \forall a (\forall x \exists y \theta(A, a, x, y) \rightarrow \exists X (X \text{ is finite, } n\text{-dense, and dominates } \theta(A, a, \cdot, \cdot))) \mid n \in \omega, \theta \in \Sigma_0^1\}$ with respect to Π_1^1 -sentences.

4 The Π_4^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$

Definition 4.1 (within $\text{I}\Sigma_1$). Let $\theta(a, x, y, z)$ be a Σ_0 -formula. Then, we define the notion of *weakly domination* as follows.

- A 0-dense set X *weakly dominates* $\theta(a, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$.
- An $n + 1$ -dense set X *weakly dominates* $\theta(a, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ if for any coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there exists a P -homogeneous set $Y \subseteq X$ such that $\forall x < \min X \exists y < \min Y \forall z < \max Y \theta(a, x, y, z)$, Y is n -dense and weakly dominates $\theta(a, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$.

Note that “ X is m -dense and weakly dominates $\theta(a, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ ” can be expressed by a Σ_0 formula.

Definition 4.2. Let $\theta(a, x, y, z)$ be a Σ_0 -formula. Then, the assertion $\theta^*\text{-nPH}_2^2$ is the following

$$\forall a \forall b (\forall x \exists y \forall z \theta(a, x, y, z) \rightarrow \exists X (X \text{ is } n\text{-dense, weakly dominates } \theta(a, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \text{ and } \min X > b)).$$

Define $T_2 := \{\theta^*\text{-nPH}_2^2 \mid n \in \omega, \theta(a, x, y, z) \in \Sigma_0\} \cup \text{I}\Sigma_1$. Note that T_2 is a Π_4^0 -theory.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\theta(a, x, y, z)$ be a Σ_0 -formula, and let $n \in \omega$. Then, $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2 \vdash \theta^*\text{-nPH}_2^2$.

Proof. Easy. \square

Theorem 4.2. A Π_4^0 sentence ψ is provable in $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ if and only if it is provable in T_2 . Thus, T_2 is the Π_4^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$.

Proof. We show that $T_2 \not\vdash \psi$ implies $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2 \not\vdash \psi$ for any Π_4^0 -sentence ψ . Assume that $\psi \equiv \forall a \exists x \forall y \forall z \theta(a, x, y, z)$ is not provable from T_2 . Then, there exists a nonstandard countable model $M \models T_2$ such that $M \models \forall x \exists y \neg \theta(a, x, y, z)$ for some $a \in M$. By $(k, \neg \theta)\text{PH}_2^2$ and overspill, there exists an $(m, \theta(a, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot))$ -dense set X such that $\min X > a$ for some $m \in M \setminus \omega$. As the proof of Theorem 1 of [1], we can construct a descending sequence $X = X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq \dots$ which satisfies the following:

- $I = \sup\{\min X_i \mid i \in \omega\} \subseteq_e M$,
- $(I, \text{Cod}(I/M)) \models \text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$,
- $I \cap X$ is unbounded in I ,
- $\forall x \leq \min X_i \exists y \leq \min X_{i+1} \forall z \leq \max X_{i+1} \neg \theta(a, x, y, z)$ for any $i \in \omega$.

Since $\min X_i < \min X_{i+1} < I < \max X_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \omega$, we have $I \models \forall x \exists y \forall z \neg \theta(a, x, y, z)$, i.e., $(I, \text{Cod}(I/M)) \models \neg \psi$. This means that $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2 \not\vdash \psi$. \square

Remark 4.3. Adding set parameters, we can easily show the following: a Π_4^0 formula ψ is provable in $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ if and only if it is provable in

$$\text{IS}_1^0 \cup \{ \forall A \forall a \forall b (\forall x \exists y \forall z \theta(A, a, x, y, z) \rightarrow \exists X (X \text{ is } n\text{-dense, weakly dominates } \theta(A, a, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \text{ and } \min X > b) \mid n \in \omega, \theta \in \Sigma_0^0 \}.$$

5 PH_2^2 with stronger largeness notion

In this section, we compare $n\text{PH}_2^2$ with PH_2^2 plus “stronger largeness”.

Definition 5.1 (within IS_1). • A finite set X is said to be *0-large* if $X \neq \emptyset$.

- A finite set X is said to be *$r + 1$ -large* if there is a partition $X = \bigsqcup_{i \leq \min X} Y_i$ such that $\max Y_i < \min Y_{i+1}$ for any $i < \min X$ and each Y_i is *r -large*.

Remark 5.1. 1. For any $r \in \omega$, IS_1 proves that for any a , there exists a finite set X such that $\min X > a$ and X is *r -large*.

2. $Q(a, b) := \max\{r \mid [a, b] \text{ is } r\text{-large}\}$ is an indicator function for WKL_0 .

3. More generally, if M is a model of IS_1 and $X \subseteq M$ is *r -large* for some $r \in M \setminus \omega$, then there exists a cut $I \subseteq_e M$ such that $(I, \text{Cod}(I/M)) \models \text{WKL}_0$ and $X \cap I$ is unbounded in I .

Definition 5.2. 1. $\text{PH}_{2,r}^2$ asserts that for any a , there exists a finite set X such that $\min X > a$ and for any coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there exists a P -homogeneous set $Y \subseteq X$ which is *r -large*.

2. $\widetilde{\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2$ asserts that for any infinite set A , there exists a finite set X such that $X \subseteq A$ and for any coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there exists a P -homogeneous set $Y \subseteq X$ which is r -large.
3. In general, $\widetilde{n\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2$ asserts that for any infinite set A , there exists a finite set X such that $X \subseteq A$ and X is (n, r) -dense, where the notion of (n, r) -density is defined as follows:
 - A finite set X is said to be $(0, r)$ -dense if X is r -large.
 - A finite set X is said to be $(n + 1, r)$ -dense if for any coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there exists a P -homogeneous set $Y \subseteq X$ which is (n, r) -dense.

Proposition 5.2. $\text{IS}_1 \vdash n\text{PH}_2^2 \rightarrow \text{PH}_{2,n}^2$.

Proof. Easy. □

The strength of $\text{PH}_{2,r}^2$ is related to the strength of $n\text{PH}_2^2$ in the following meaning.

Proposition 5.3. Assume that $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \widetilde{\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2$ for all $r \in \omega$, then we have $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \widetilde{n\text{PH}}_2^2$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Proof. Our assumption is $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \widetilde{1\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2$ for any $r \in \omega$. We will show by induction on n that $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \widetilde{n\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2$ for any $r \in \omega$ and for any $n \in \omega$. Let $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \widetilde{n\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2$ for any $r \in \omega$. Assume for the sake of contradiction that $\text{WKL}_0 \not\vdash \widetilde{(n+1)\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2$ for some $r \in \omega$. Then, there exists a model $(M, S) \models \text{WKL}_0$ and $A \in S$ such that $M \not\cong \omega$, A is unbounded in M and any (M) -finite subset of A is not $(n+1, r)$ -dense. By the assumption, there exists an (n, s) -dense subset of A for any $s \in \omega$. Thus, by overspill, for some $m \in M \setminus \omega$, we can take an (n, m) -dense subset $X \subseteq A$. We will show that this X is in fact $(n+1, r)$ -dense, which leads to a contradiction. By the definition of (n, m) -density, for any coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there exists a P -homogeneous set $Y_1 \subseteq X$ which is $(n-1, m)$ -dense, and we can repeat this process n -times then the result set Y_n is m -large. By Remark 5.1.3, there exists a cut $I \subseteq_e M$ such that $(I, \text{Cod}(I/M)) \models \text{WKL}_0$ and $Y_n \cap I$ is unbounded in I . Thus, there exists a finite subset of $Y_n \cap I$ which is $(1, r)$ -dense. This means that Y_n is $(1, r)$ -dense, and hence X is $(n+1, r)$ -dense. □

Thus, if $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \widetilde{\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2$, then $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ is a Π_2^0 -conservative extension of WKL_0 . This may give a new approach to study the proof-theoretic strength of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$.

Question 5.3. Is $\text{IS}_1 \cup \{n\text{PH}_2^2 \mid n \in \omega\}$ equivalent to $\text{IS}_1 \cup \{\widetilde{\text{PH}}_{2,r}^2 \mid r \in \omega\}$?

6 Other combinatorial principles

In this section, we give several density notions for SRT_2^2 , $\text{RT}_{<\infty}^2$, $\text{SRT}_{<\infty}^2$, EM and ADS. (For the definitions of these combinatorial principles, see [2, 5, 1].) Using these notions, we can characterize Π_2^0 , Π_3^0 or Π_4^0 part of the target combinatorial principle as in Sections 2,3 and 4.

We reason within IS_1 .

Proposition 6.1. *The Π_2^0 -part, Π_3^0 -part and the Π_4^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{SRT}_2^2$ is characterized by the following density notion.*

A finite set X is said to be

- 0-dense if $|X| > \min X$, and
- $m + 1$ -dense if for any $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$,
 - there exists a P -homogeneous subset $Y \subseteq X$ which is m -dense, or,
 - there exists $Y = \{y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_l\} \subseteq X$ such that $P(y_0, y_i) \neq P(y_0, y_{i+1})$ for any $0 < i < l$ and Y is m -dense.

For the strength of SRT_2^2 , see also Chong/Slaman/Yang [3].

Proposition 6.2. *The Π_2^0 -part, Π_3^0 -part and the Π_4^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_{<\infty}^2$ is characterized by the following density notion.*

A finite set X is said to be

- 0-dense if $|X| > \min X$, and
- $m + 1$ -dense if for any coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow k$ such that $k < \min X$, there exists a P -homogeneous subset $Y \subseteq X$ which is m -dense.

Proposition 6.3. *The Π_2^0 -part, Π_3^0 -part and the Π_4^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{SRT}_{<\infty}^2$ is characterized by the following density notion.*

A finite set X is said to be

- 0-dense if $|X| > \min X$, and
- $m + 1$ -dense if for any coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow k$ such that $k < \min X$,
 - there exists a P -homogeneous subset $Y \subseteq X$ which is m -dense, or,
 - there exists $Y = \{y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_l\} \subseteq X$ such that $P(y_0, y_i) \neq P(y_0, y_{i+1})$ for any $0 < i < l$ and Y is m -dense,

Proposition 6.4. *The Π_2^0 -part, Π_3^0 -part and the Π_4^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{EM}$ is characterized by the following density notion.*

A finite set X is said to be

- 0-dense if $|X| > \min X$, and
- $m + 1$ -dense if
 - for any coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there exists $Y \subseteq X$ such that P is transitive on Y and Y is m -dense, and,
 - there is a partition $X = \bigsqcup_{i \leq \min X} Y_i$ such that $\max Y_i < \min Y_{i+1}$ for any $i < \min X$ and each Y_i is m -dense.

Here, a coloring P is said to be transitive if $P(a, b) = P(b, c) \Rightarrow P(a, b) = P(a, c)$.

Proposition 6.5. *The Π_2^0 -part, Π_3^0 -part and the Π_4^0 -part of $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{ADS}$ is characterized by the following density notion.*

A finite set X is said to be

- 0-dense if $|X| > \min X$, and
- $m + 1$ -dense if for any transitive coloring $P : [X]^2 \rightarrow 2$, there exists a P -homogeneous subset $Y \subseteq X$ which is m -dense.

In fact, Slaman/Chong/Yang[4] showed that $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{ADS}$ is a Π_1^1 -conservative extension of BS_2^0 . Thus, for any $n \in \omega$, WKL_0 actually proves for any a , there exists a finite set X such that $\min X > a$ and X is n -dense for ADS.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Dr. Tin Lok Wong for useful comments. This work was partially supported by a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science postdoctoral fellowship for young scientists, and by a grant from the John Templeton Foundation.

References

- [1] Andrey Bovykin and Andreas Weiermann. The strength of infinitary ramseyan principles can be accessed by their densities. to appear.
- [2] Peter A. Cholak, Carl G. Jockusch, and Theodore A. Slaman. On the strength of Ramsey’s theorem for pairs. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 66(1):1–55, 2001.
- [3] C. T. Chong, Theodore A. Slaman, and Yue Yang. The metamathematics of Stable Ramsey’s Theorem for pairs. to appear.
- [4] C. T. Chong, Theodore A. Slaman, and Yue Yang. Π_1^1 -conservation of combinatorial principles weaker than Ramsey’s theorem for pairs. *Advances in Mathematics*, 230(3):1060–1077, 2012.

- [5] Denis R. Hirschfeldt and Richard A. Shore. Combinatorial principles weaker than Ramsey's theorem for pairs. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 72(1):171–206, 2007.
- [6] Richard Kaye. *Models of Peano Arithmetic*. Oxford Logic Guides, 15. Oxford University Press, 1991. x+292 pages.
- [7] J. B. Paris. Some independence results for Peano Arithmetic. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 43(4):725–731, 1978.
- [8] J. B. Paris and L. A. S. Kirby. Σ_n -collection schemas in arithmetic. In *Logic Colloquium '77 (Proc. Conf., Wroclaw, 1977)*, volume 96 of *Stud. Logic Foundations Math.*, 1978.
- [9] Keita Yokoyama. On the strength of Ramsey's theorem without Σ_1 -induction. to appear.