

Title	On the Ramseyan factorization theorem
Author(s)	Murakami, Shota; Yamazaki, Takeshi; Yokoyama, Keita
Citation	Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 8493: 324-332
Issue Date	2014-06
Type	Journal Article
Text version	author
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10119/12786
Rights	This is the author-created version of Springer, Shota Murakami, Takeshi Yamazaki and Keita Yokoyama, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 8493, 2014, 324-332. The original publication is available at www.springerlink.com , http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08019-2_33
Description	10th Conference on Computability in Europe, CiE 2014, Budapest, Hungary, June 23-27, 2014.

On the Ramseyan factorization theorem

Shota Murakami¹, Takeshi Yamazaki², and Keita Yokoyama^{3,4}

¹ Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Japan
sb0m33@math.tohoku.ac.jp

² Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Japan
yamazaki@math.tohoku.ac.jp

³ Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Japan
y-keita@jaist.ac.jp

Abstract. We study, in the context of reverse mathematics, the strength of Ramseyan factorization theorem (RF_k^s), a Ramsey-type theorem used in automata theory. We prove that RF_k^s is equivalent to RT_2^2 for all $s, k \geq 2, k \in \omega$ over RCA_0 . We also consider a weak version of Ramseyan factorization theorem and prove that it is in between ADS and CAC.

1 Introduction

In the current study of reverse mathematics, deciding the strength of Ramsey's theorem for pairs (RT_2^2) is one of the most important topics (see e.g., Cholak/Jockusch/Slaman[1] and Hirschfeldt[5], and for the study of reverse mathematics, Simpson[9] is the standard reference). In this paper, we study, in the context of reverse mathematics, the strength of a Ramsey-type theorem which is called Ramseyan factorization theorem. Ramseyan factorization theorem is used in the theory of automata (see, for example, [8]). We show that some kinds of Ramseyan factorization theorem are equivalent to RT_2^2 . We also study a weak version of Ramseyan factorization theorem. We discuss it in section 3, and show that a weak version is in between ADS and CAC. Note that ADS and CAC are just separated by Lerman/Solomon/Towsner[7]. Thus, it must be strictly stronger than ADS or strictly weaker than CAC. We also consider other variations of Ramseyan factorization theorem in section 5.

Notations and definitions

Let A be a set. Then $A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $A^{\mathbb{N}}$) denotes the set of all finite (resp. infinite) sequences of elements from A . If $u, v \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$, u_i denotes the i -th element of u , $u \frown v$ (and uv for short) denotes the concatenation of u and v , and $|u|$ denotes the length of u . The Ramseyan factorization theorem is the following statement.

⁴ The third author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up grant number 25887026.

Definition 1 (Ramseyan factorization theorem). For any $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and finite $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, the following statement (RF_B^A) holds:

For any $u \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f : A^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow B$, there exists $v \in (A^{<\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $u = v_0 \widehat{\ } v_1 \widehat{\ } \dots$ and for any $j \geq i > 0$ and $j' \geq i' > 0$, $f(v_i \widehat{\ } v_{i+1} \widehat{\ } \dots \widehat{\ } v_j) = f(v_{i'} \widehat{\ } v_{i'+1} \widehat{\ } \dots \widehat{\ } v_{j'})$.

If u, f and v satisfy the above condition, we call v a Ramseyan factorization for u and f . In this paper, we aim to study $\text{RF}_k^{\mathbb{N}}$ and RF_k^s for $s, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2 Ramseyan factorization theorem and Ramsey's theorem for pairs

In this section, we see the relation between Ramsey's theorem (RT_k^n) and Ramseyan factorization theorem (RF_k^s).

Proposition 2 (RCA_0). For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{RF}_k^{\mathbb{N}} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \text{RF}_k^2 \Rightarrow \text{RF}_k^1$.

Proof. Trivial from the definition.

Theorem 3 (RCA_0). For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, RT_k^2 implies $\text{RF}_k^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$. Define $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow k$ as follows:

$$P(i, j) = f(u_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{j-1}).$$

Let X be an infinite homogeneous set for P . Define $l \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by setting l_i to be the i -th smallest element in X and define $v \in (\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ by setting $v_0 = u_0 \dots u_{l_0-1}$ and $v_i = u_{l_{i-1}} \dots u_{l_i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then clearly v is a Ramseyan factorization for u and f .

Theorem 4 (RCA_0). For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, RF_k^2 implies RT_k^2 .

Proof. Let $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow k$. We will find an infinite homogeneous set for P . Define $u \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f : 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$ as follows:

$$u = 1010010001 \dots 10^{n-1} 10^n 10^{n+1} 1 \dots$$

$$f(\sigma) = \begin{cases} P(m, n+2) & \text{if } \sigma = 0^k 10^m 1\tau 10^n 10^l \text{ for some } k, l, m, n \geq 0 \text{ and } \tau \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let v be a Ramseyan factorization for u and f . By combining v_i 's if necessary, we may assume that each v_i contains at least four 1's, i.e., v_i is of the form $0^k 10^m 1\tau 10^n 10^l$. Let $H = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq \exists i \leq m \ v_i = 0^k 10^m 1\tau 10^n 10^l\}$. We can easily check that this H is an infinite homogeneous set for P .

From the above proposition and theorems, we can show that RF_k^s is equivalent to RT_2^2 for all $s, k \geq 2, k \in \omega$.

Corollary 5. *The following are equivalent over RCA_0 .*

1. RT_2^2 .
2. $\text{RF}_k^{\mathbb{N}}$ ($k \geq 2, k \in \omega$).
3. RF_k^2 ($k \geq 2, k \in \omega$).

Proof. This is clear from the previous theorems and the fact that RCA_0 proves $\text{RT}_k^2 \Rightarrow \text{RT}_{k+1}^2$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Corollary 6. *The following are equivalent over RCA_0 .*

1. $\text{RT}_{<\infty}^2$.
2. $\forall k \text{RF}_k^{\mathbb{N}}$.
3. $\forall k \text{RF}_k^2$.

Next, we consider the remaining case, i.e. the strength of RF_k^1 . In order to study RF_k^1 , we consider the following version of Ramsey's theorem.

Definition 7. *For a given function $f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, RT_k^f is the following statement:*

For any $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k$, there exists an infinite set $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $u, v \in [H]^n$, $P(f(u)) = P(f(v))$.

If f is a bijection, we can prove the following.

Proposition 8 (RCA_0). *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any bijection $f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, RT_k^f is equivalent to RT_k^n .*

The full version of RT_k^f , i.e. $\forall f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{RT}_k^f$, is still equivalent to RT_k^n .

Proposition 9 (RCA_0). *RT_k^n is equivalent to $\forall f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{RT}_k^f$.*

Proof. From left to right is trivial, because $P \circ f$ is a function from $[\mathbb{N}]^n$ to k when $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k$. From right to left is proved from the above proposition.

If f is not a bijection, RT_k^f may not be equivalent to RT_k^n . In case f is the subtraction $\text{Subt}(a, b) = b - a$, RT_k^f is equivalent to RF_k^1 . (The function Subt is considered as a function of $[\mathbb{N}]^2$.)

Proposition 10 (RCA_0). *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, RF_k^1 is equivalent to $\text{RT}_k^{\text{Subt}}$.*

Proof. We first prove $\text{RF}_k^1 \Rightarrow \text{RT}_k^{\text{Subt}}$. Assume RF_k^1 and let $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k$. Define $f : 1^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$ by $f(0^n) = P(n)$ and let v be a Ramseyan factorization for $0^{\mathbb{N}}$ and f . Let $X = \{\sum_{j \leq i} |v_j| \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then X is an infinite homogeneous set for $P \circ \text{Subt}$.

Next, we prove $\text{RT}_k^{\text{Subt}} \Rightarrow \text{RF}_k^1$. Assume $\text{RT}_k^{\text{Subt}}$ and let $f : 1^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$. Define $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k$ by $P(n) = f(0^n)$. Then there exists an infinite homogeneous set $H := \{l_0 < l_1 < \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for P . Define $v \in (1^{<\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $v_0 = 0^{l_0}$ and $v_i = 0^{l_i - l_{i-1}}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then v is a Ramseyan factorization for $0^{\mathbb{N}}$ and f .

From the above, we can show that $\forall k \text{RF}_k^1$ is strong enough to prove the bounding principle for Σ_2^0 formulas.

Corollary 11 (RCA_0). $\forall k \text{RF}_k^1$ implies $\text{B}\Sigma_2^0$.

Proof. Because of the above and the equivalence of $\text{B}\Sigma_2^0$ and $\text{RT}_{<\infty}^1$, it's enough to prove $\text{RT}_k^{\text{Subt}} \Rightarrow \text{RT}_k^1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\text{RT}_k^{\text{Subt}}$ and let $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k$. Then there exists an infinite set $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $u, v \in [H]^2$, $P(u_1 - u_0) = P(v_1 - v_0)$. Then $X = \{h - \min H \mid h \in H \setminus \{\min H\}\}$ is an infinite homogeneous set for P .

Question 12. Is RF_k^1 equivalent to RT_2^2 or RT_k^1 ?

3 Weak factorization

In this section, we consider a weaker version of Ramseyan factorization theorem. For applications in automata theory, the following weaker version of Ramseyan factorization theorem is usually good enough.

Definition 13. For given sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, weak Ramseyan factorization theorem for A and B (WRF_B^A) is the following statement:

For any $u \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f : A^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow B$, there exists $v \in (\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $u = v_0 \widehat{v}_1 \widehat{\dots}$ and for any $i, j > 0$, $f(v_i) = f(v_j)$.

Here, such v is said to be a weak Ramseyan factorization for u and f .

Similarly, we consider a weaker version of Ramsey's theorem as follows.

Definition 14. Pseudo Ramsey's theorem psRT_k^n is the following statement:

For any coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow k$, there exists an infinite set $H = \{a_0 < a_1 < \dots\}$ such that for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(a_i, \dots, a_{i+n-1}) = P(a_j, \dots, a_{j+n-1})$.

Such H is called pseudo homogeneous set for P .⁵

Remark 15. In general, a subset of a pseudo homogeneous set might not be pseudo homogeneous again.

Question 16. Does psRT_k^n imply psRT_{k+1}^n over RCA_0 ?

Proposition 17 (RCA_0). For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{WRF}_m^{\mathbb{N}} \Leftrightarrow \text{psRT}_m^2$. In particular, $\text{WRF}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to psRT_2^2 .

⁵ In Friedman/Pelupessy[4], this set is called adjacent homogeneous.

Proof. We first show for a given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\text{WRF}_m^{\mathbb{N}} \Rightarrow \text{psRT}_m^2$. Fix $u = \langle i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. For a given coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow m$, define $f : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow m$ by $f(\sigma) = P(a, a+k)$ if $\sigma = \langle a+i \mid i < k \rangle$ for some $a, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$, and $f(\sigma) = 0$ otherwise. Now, let v be a weak Ramseyan factorization for u and f . Then, one can easily check that the set $H = \{\sum_{j \leq i} |v_j| \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a pseudo homogeneous set for P .

Next, we show $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{psRT}_m^2 \Rightarrow \text{WRF}_m^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $u \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and let $f : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow m$. Then, define a coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow m$ by $P(a, b) = f(\langle u_i \mid a \leq i < b \rangle)$. Let $H = \{a_0 < a_1 < \dots\}$ be an infinite weak homogeneous set for P . Define $v_0 = \langle u_i \mid 0 \leq j < a_0 \rangle$ and $v_{i+1} = \langle u_j \mid a_i \leq j < a_{i+1} \rangle$. Then, v is a weak Ramseyan factorization for u and f .

How about the case WRF_B^A with A finite? We can apply a similar argument to that in Theorem 4, but this time, we have to add extra colors.

Proposition 18 (RCA₀). *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, WRF_{k+5}^2 implies psRT_k^2 .*

Proof. Let $w_i = 10^i \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$, and let $u = w_0 \widehat{\ } w_1 \widehat{\ } \dots$. For a given coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow k$, we define a function $f : 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k+5$ as follows:

$$f(\sigma) = \begin{cases} P(m, n+2) & \text{if } \sigma = 0^i \widehat{\ } w_m \widehat{\ } \dots \widehat{\ } w_n \widehat{\ } 10^j \text{ for some } i, j \geq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq m \leq n, \\ k & \text{if } \sigma = 0^i 10^j \text{ for some } i, j \geq 0 \text{ such that } i \text{ and } j \text{ are both even,} \\ k+1 & \text{if } \sigma = 0^i 10^j \text{ for some } i, j \geq 0 \text{ such that } i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even,} \\ k+2 & \text{if } \sigma = 0^i 10^j \text{ for some } i, j \geq 0 \text{ such that } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd,} \\ k+3 & \text{if } \sigma = 0^i 10^j \text{ for some } i, j \geq 0 \text{ such that } i \text{ and } j \text{ are both odd,} \\ k+4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Take a weak Ramseyan factorization v for u and f , and let $f(v_i) = d$ for all $i \geq 1$. If v_i contains at least one ‘1’, then $f(v_i) \neq k+4$. Thus, $d \neq k+4$. If $k \leq d < k+4$, then each v_i contains only one ‘1’. However, one can easily check that this is impossible. Therefore, for any $i \geq 1$, $f(v_i) = d$ for some $d < k$. This means that $H = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_l = 0^i \widehat{\ } w_m \widehat{\ } \dots \widehat{\ } w_n \widehat{\ } 10^j \text{ for some } i, j \geq 0, 1 \leq m \leq n, \text{ and } l \geq 1\}$ is a pseudo homogeneous set for P .

Question 19. *Is it possible to reduce the number of colorings in the above proof?*

One of the reviewers told us that if we change the color “ $k+4$ ” to “0”, the above proof still works without changing the weak Ramseyan factorization v . Therefore, thank to him or her, we can prove the following.

Proposition 20 (RCA₀). *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, WRF_{k+4}^2 implies psRT_k^2 .*

The following question still remains.

Question 21. *Is WRF_2^2 equivalent to psRT_2^2 over RCA₀?*

4 The strength of $\text{WRF}_k^{\mathbb{N}}$, or equivalently psRT_k^2

Our main goal in this section is to prove that $\text{WRF}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$, or equivalently psRT_2^2 , is in between CAC and ADS. In order to show it, we use the facts that ADS is equivalent to trRT_2^2 , transitive Ramsey's theorem for pairs, and CAC is equivalent to strRT_2^2 , semi-transitive Ramsey's theorem for pairs, which were both proved in Hirschfeldt/Shore[6].

Definition 22 (Transitive and semi-transitive colorings [6]).

1. A k -coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow k$ is said to be transitive if $P(a, b) = P(b, c) = i \Rightarrow P(a, c) = i$.
2. A k -coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow k$ is said to be semi-transitive if $P(a, b) = P(b, c) = i > 0 \Rightarrow P(a, c) = i$.

Now, we consider the following variations of Ramsey's theorem for pairs.

- Definition 23.**
1. *Transitive Ramsey's theorem trRT_k^2 :* Any transitive k -coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow k$ has an infinite homogeneous set.
 2. *Semi-transitive Ramsey's theorem strRT_k^2 :* Any semi-transitive k -coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow k$ has an infinite homogeneous set.
 3. *Semi-pseudo Ramsey's theorem spsRT_k^2 :* Any k -coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow k$ has an infinite homogeneous set H such that $P([H]^2) = \{0\}$ or an infinite pseudo homogeneous set $H' = \{h_0 < h_1 < \dots\}$ such that $P(h_i, h_{i+1}) > 0$.

Clearly, spsRT_k^2 is a stronger version of psRT_k^2 . First, we show the lower bound for psRT_2^2 .

Theorem 24 (RCA₀). For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, psRT_m^2 implies trRT_m^2 .

Proof. If P is a transitive coloring, a pseudo homogeneous set for P is actually a homogeneous set for P .

Next, we consider the upper bound for psRT_2^2

Lemma 25 (RCA₀). For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, spsRT_m^2 implies strRT_m^2 .

Proof. If P is a semi-transitive coloring, a pseudo homogeneous set H for P with $P([H]^2) \neq \{0\}$ is actually a homogeneous set for P .

The converse is true for the case $m = 2$.

Lemma 26 (RCA₀). strRT_2^2 implies spsRT_2^2 .

Proof. Let $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow 2$. We want to find a homogeneous set for 0, or a pseudo homogeneous set for 1. Define $\bar{P} : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow 2$ as follows: $\bar{P}(a, b) = 1$ if there exists a sequence $a = a_0 < \dots < a_l = b$ such that $P(a_i, a_{i+1}) = 1$ for any $i < l$, and $\bar{P}(a, b) = 0$ otherwise. Then, \bar{P} is a semi-transitive coloring. Thus, by strRT_2^2 , take an infinite homogeneous set H for \bar{P} . If $\bar{P}([H]^2) = \{0\}$, then we have $P([H]^2) = \{0\}$ and we have done. If $\bar{P}([H]^2) = \{1\}$, then for any $a, b \in H$, we can (effectively) find a sequence $a = a_0 < \dots < a_l = b$ such that $P(a_i, a_{i+1}) = 1$ for every $i < l$. Thus, we can construct a set $H' \supseteq H$ which is a pseudo homogeneous set for P with the value 1.

Question 27. Over RCA_0 , does $\text{strRT}_{<\infty}^2$ imply $\text{spsRT}_{<\infty}^2$ or $\text{psRT}_{<\infty}^2$?

Although psRT_k^2 might not prove psRT_{k+1}^2 , we can show the following.

Lemma 28 (RCA_0). For any $m \geq 2$, spsRT_m^2 implies spsRT_{m+1}^2 .

Proof. Let $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow m + 1$. Define $\bar{P} : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow m$ by $\bar{P}(a, b) = 0$ if $P(a, b) \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\bar{P}(a, b) = P(a, b) - 1$ if $P(a, b) \geq 2$. If \bar{P} has a pseudo homogeneous set with the value $d \geq 1$, then it is a pseudo homogeneous set for P . Otherwise, \bar{P} has a homogeneous set H with the value 0. Then, $P \upharpoonright [H]^2$ is a 2-coloring, thus we can apply spsRT_2^2 again, and we have done. ⁶

Combining the above, we have the following.

Theorem 29. The following are equivalent over RCA_0 .

1. spsRT_2^2 .
2. strRT_2^2 .
3. spsRT_k^2 for any $k \in \omega$, $k \geq 2$.
4. strRT_k^2 for any $k \in \omega$, $k \geq 2$.

Thus, within RCA_0 , psRT_2^2 is provable from any one of the above.

Corollary 30 (RCA_0). psRT_2^2 is stronger than ADS and weaker than CAC.

Proof. By Hirschfeldt/Shore[6], ADS is equivalent to trRT_2^2 and CAC is equivalent to strRT_2^2 .

Question 31. Is psRT_2^2 equivalent to ADS or CAC over RCA_0 ?

Corollary 32 (RCA_0). SRT_2^2 does not imply psRT_2^2 .

Proof. By Chong/Slaman/Yang[2], SRT_2^2 does not imply COH. On the other hand, by Hirschfeldt/Shore[6], ADS implies COH, and thus psRT_2^2 implies COH.

Corollary 33 (RCA_0). psRT_2^2 does not imply DNR.

Proof. By Hirschfeldt/Shore[6], CAC does not imply DNR, thus psRT_2^2 does not, either.

Question 34. Does P_2^2 or $\text{RWKL}^{0'}$ imply psRT_2^2 ? (See, e.g., Flood[3] for the definitions of these statements. Note that $\text{RWKL}^{0'}$ is introduced as $\text{RKL}^{(1)}$ in [3].)

5 Other topics

In this section, we focus on some other versions of Ramseyan factorization theorem.

⁶ Note that this argument still works for any n -tuples.

5.1 Stable versions

We can consider stable versions of RF or WRF. For given $u \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $f : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$, f is said to be *stable* on u if for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n > m$ such that for any $l > n$, $f(\langle u_i \mid m \leq i < n \rangle) = f(\langle u_i \mid m \leq i < l \rangle)$. Then, SRF_k^A and SWRF_k^A are the following statements:

- Definition 35.**
1. SRF_k^A : For any $u \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f : A^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$ such that f is stable on u , there exists a Ramseyan factorization for u and f .
 2. SWRF_k^A : For any $u \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $f : A^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$ such that f is stable on u , there exists a weak Ramseyan factorization for u and f .

As in Theorems 3 and 4, we can show the following.

Theorem 36. Within RCA_0 , the following are equivalent for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. SRT_m^2 .
2. $\text{SRF}_m^{\mathbb{N}}$.
3. $\text{SRF}_m^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 37. Within RCA_0 , the following are equivalent for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. SWRT_m^2 : Any stable coloring $P : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow m$ has an infinite pseudo homogeneous set.
2. $\text{SWRF}_m^{\mathbb{N}}$.

5.2 Tree versions

In this subsection, we consider a slightly stronger version of RF_m^2 . For given two trees $T, S \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$, a tree embedding is an injective function $\pi : S \rightarrow T$ such that for any $\sigma, \tau \in S$, $\pi(\sigma) \cap \pi(\tau) = \pi(\sigma \cap \tau)$. For a given tree embedding $\pi : S \rightarrow T$, and for any $\sigma, \tau \in S$ such that $\sigma \subsetneq \tau$, the edge between $\pi(\sigma)$ and $\pi(\tau)$, denoted by $E_\pi(\sigma, \tau)$, is the sequence $\rho \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\pi(\sigma) \frown \rho = \pi(\tau)$. Then, we consider the following tree version of Ramseyan factorization theorem.

Definition 38. Ramseyan factorization theorem for trees TRF_k^2 is the following statement:

For any infinite tree $T \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and a coloring $f : 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$, there exists an infinite tree $S \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and a tree embedding $\pi : S \rightarrow T$ such that for any $\sigma \subsetneq \tau \in S$ and $\sigma' \subsetneq \tau' \in S$, $f(E_\pi(\sigma, \tau)) = f(E_\pi(\sigma', \tau'))$.

Proposition 39 (RCA_0). TRF_k^2 implies RF_k^2 for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, TRF_2^2 implies RF_2^2 (and, equivalently, RT_2^2).

Proof. Assume TRF_k^2 and let $u \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $f : 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow k$. Define a tree $T \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ by $T = \{u_0 u_1 \dots u_{i-1} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By TRF_k^2 , there exist $S = \{s_0 < s_1 < \dots\} \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and an embedding $\pi : S \rightarrow T$ such that for all $\sigma \subsetneq \tau \in S$ and $\sigma' \subsetneq \tau' \in S$, $f(E_\pi(\sigma, \tau)) = f(E_\pi(\sigma', \tau'))$. Define $v \in (2^{<\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ by setting $v_0 = \pi(s_0)$ and $v_i = E_\pi(s_{i-1}, s_i)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then, v is a Ramseyan factorization for u and f .

We can also show that TRF_2^2 is weaker than $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$.

Proposition 40. $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ implies TRF_2^2 .

Proof. Let $T \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite tree and $f : 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2$. By WKL_0 , there is an infinite path $u \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ through T . By RF_2^2 , which is equivalent to RT_2^2 , there is a Ramseyan factorization $v \in (2^{<\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ for u and f . Define $S \subseteq 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $\pi : S \rightarrow T$ by $S = \{0^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\pi(0^i) = v_0 \hat{\wedge} v_1 \hat{\wedge} \dots \hat{\wedge} v_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then S and π satisfy the condition.

Therefore, TRF_2^2 is in between $\text{WKL}_0 + \text{RT}_2^2$ and RT_2^2 .

Question 41. Does TRF_2^2 imply WKL_0 over RCA_0 ?

Remark 42. TRF_2^2 may be equivalent to the following stronger version of RT_2^2 :

RT_2^{2+} : If \mathcal{P} be a class of colorings $P : [F_P]^2 \rightarrow 2$ where $F_P = \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists an infinite set $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that there exist infinitely many $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that P is constant on $[H \cap F_P]^2$.

We think that the equivalence should hold, but we do not know either $\text{TRF}_2^2 \Rightarrow \text{RT}_2^{2+}$ or $\text{RT}_2^{2+} \Rightarrow \text{TRF}_2^2$. This kind of strengthened Ramsey's theorem is studied in [10].

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Florian Pelupessy and anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions on this paper.

References

1. Peter A. Cholak, Carl G. Jockusch, and Theodore A. Slaman. On the strength of Ramsey's theorem for pairs. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 66(1):1–55, 2001.
2. Chi-Tat Chong, Theodore A. Slaman, and Yue Yang. The metamathematics of stable Ramsey's theorem for pairs. to appear.
3. Stephen Flood. Reverse mathematics and a Ramsey-type König's lemma. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 77(4):1272–1280, 2012.
4. Harvey Friedman and Florian Pelupessy. Independence of Ramsey theorem variants using ϵ_0 . draft.
5. Denis R. Hirschfeldt. Slicing the truth: On the computability theoretic and reverse mathematical analysis of combinatorial principles. to appear.
6. Denis R. Hirschfeldt and Richard A. Shore. Combinatorial principles weaker than Ramsey's theorem for pairs. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 72(1):171–206, 2007.
7. Manuel Lerman, Reed Solomon, and Henry Towsner. Separating principles below ramsey's theorem for pairs. *Journal of Mathematical Logic*, 13(2):1350007, 2013.
8. Dominique Perrin and Jean-Éric Pin. *Infinite Words: Automata, Semigroups, Logic and Games*, volume 141. Academic Press, 2004.

9. Stephen G. Simpson. *Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic*. Perspectives in Mathematical Logic. Springer-Verlag, 1999. XIV + 445 pages; Second Edition, Perspectives in Logic, Association for Symbolic Logic, Cambridge University Press, 2009, XVI+ 444 pages.
10. Keita Yokoyama. Finite iterations of infinite and finite Ramsey's theorem. in preparation.